Pyrenyl-Linker-Glucono Gelators. Correlations of Gel Properties with Gelator Structures and Characterization of Solvent Effects
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ABSTRACT: A series of glucono-appended 1-pyrenesulfonyl derivatives containing α,ω-diaminoalkane spacers (Pn, where n, the number of methylene units separating the amino groups, is 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, and 8) have been prepared. Careful analyses of correlations between the structures of these molecules and their gels have provided important insights into the factors responsible for one-dimensional aggregation of small molecules containing both lipophilic and hydrophilic parts. The gelation behavior has been examined in 30 liquids of diverse structure and polarity, and the properties of their gels and the gelation mechanisms have been investigated using a variety of techniques. Possible reasons are discussed regarding why the Pn are better gelators than the corresponding naphthyl analogues (Nn) which had been investigated previously. P2 and P3 are ambidextrous gelators (i.e., they gelate both water and some organic liquids), and P4–P8 gelate some organic liquids which are protic and aprotic, but not water. In at least one of the liquids examined, P3, P4, P6, P7, and P8 form gels at less than 1 w/v % concentrations, and some of the gels in 1-decanol are thixotropic. Analyses of the gelation abilities using Hansen solubility parameters yield both qualitative and quantitative insights into the role of liquid-gelator interactions. For example, the critical gelation concentrations increase generally with increasing polar and hydrogen bonding interactions between the gelators and their liquid components. As revealed by FT-IR, 1H NMR, UV–vis, and fluorescence spectra, hydrogen-bonding between glucono units and π–π stacking between pyrenyl groups are important in the formation and maintenance of the gel networks. The results from this study, especially those relating the aggregation modes and liquid properties, offer insights for the design of new surfactant-containing low-molecular-mass gelators with predefined gelating abilities.

INTRODUCTION

Gels consisting of a low concentration of a low-molecular-mass gelator (LMOG) and a liquid, as well as the mechanisms of their formation, are receiving increased attention because of the many realized and potential applications of these materials1–3 and because of the insights into one-dimensional (1D) aggregation and self-assembly modes that they provide.4,5 Solutions/sols of LMOGs can self-assemble6 into 1D fibers, rods, ribbons, and nanotubes, as well as two-dimensional (2D) objects (i.e., platelets) or other aggregate morphologies through hydrogen bonding, π–π stacking, London dispersion forces, and other types of van der Waals interactions. A key requirement for these objects to make gels is their additional organization into three-dimensional (3D) networks which can immobilize the liquid component.4 Because the noncovalent forces responsible for the 1D objects and their 3D networks are intrinsically weaker than the covalent bonds associated with gels based on cross-linked polymeric networks, LMOG-based gels are, in general, more responsive to stimuli such as heat, light,7 ultrasound,8 shearing,9 pH,10 host–guest complexes,11 added metallic ions,12 and oxidative/reductive reactions.9 Examples of each of these have been documented to influence the strengths of LMOG gels and their sol–gel transitions.

Gelation of liquids by LMOGs requires a fine balance between dissolution and aggregation.13 Many LMOG molecules contain functional groups which promote both tendencies in a given liquid. For example, we and others have explored the gelating abilities of LMOGs, which consist of an aromatic group (A), a linker (L), and a steroidal (S) group.14 Even when very intriguing differences in the modes of aggregation and gelation of the ALS molecules have been identified to be a consequence of subtle changes in the liquid component,15 the underlying physical causes have been difficult to separate. Some members of another class of LMOGs, amphiphiles in which the A16,17 (or a long alkyl chain1,18) and L parts are
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Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), Transmission Electronic Microscopy (TEM), and Polarizing Optical Microscopy (POM). SEM pictures of xerogels were taken on a Quanta 200 scanning electron microscopy spectrometer (Philips-FEI) at 20 kV and 10 mA. Xerogels were prepared by freeze–drying 2% or 0.05% solution/sol of CHCl₃. In the following measurements, “freeze–dried” means that samples were prepared in an Alpha–1 freeze–dryer (Christ) at −80 °C and ~4 Pa for 24 h.

Samples for TEM measurements were prepared by placing one drop of a 0.02% or 0.05% solution/sol of P7 in acetone onto a carbon-coated copper grid (400 mesh) and then allowing the liquid to evaporate in air at room temperature. After drying of the grid, the evaporate in air at room temperature. After drying of the grid, the liquid was stained with a solution of 2% phosphotungstic acid in ethanol for 1 min and then dried in air. Measurements were made on a JEM-2100 (JEOL) microscope at 200 kV.

POMs were recorded on a Leitz 585 SM-LUX-POL microscope equipped with crossed polarizers, a Leitz 350 heating stage, a Photometrics CCD camera interface connected to a computer, and an Omega HH503 microprocessor thermometer connected to a J-K-T thermocouple. Samples were flame-sealed in 0.4 or 0.5 mm path-length, flattened Pyrex capillary tubes (VitroCom, Inc.).

Contact Angles. Static contact angle measurements were performed on an OCA 20 video-based contact angle measuring device (Data-Physics). A drop of a hot solution/sol was placed onto a mica sheet, cooled to room temperature (to form a gel), and freeze-dried. Water droplets were placed on the freeze–dried surface via a microsyringe, and images were captured to measure the angle of the liquid–solid interface. Each sample was recorded at six different points on a surface.

Steady-State Fluorescence. Steady-state emission spectra of the gel and sol phases of N₂-saturated 1.5% P7 (Series B) in acetone in flame-sealed 4 mm (width) × 7 mm (length) Pyrex flattened capillaries (VitroCom, Inc.) were recorded in a front-face mode at an angle of ~45° with respect to the incident beam of a Photon Technology International Fluorometer (SYS 2459). In order to compare the change in the fluorescence spectra with gelation, a sample was heated and cooled using a Quantumwest temperature controller and an Omega temperature probe at ca. 10 °C/min, allowing 20 min equilibration time before recording spectra. The sample was not moved between recording spectra at different temperatures, and the instrumental parameters were unchanged.

NMR. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on an AVANCE 300 MHz spectrometer (Bruker). Data processing and analyses were performed using MestRe-C 2.3a software. Chemical shifts were referenced to an internal standard, tetramethylsilane (TMS).

FTIR. Spectra of sols and gels were recorded in transmission mode using a Bruker Equinox 55 infrared spectrometer. The gel samples were coated onto a KBr plate as a smooth film and then freeze–dried. Drops of hot sol samples were dropped onto a KBr plate and then freeze–dried as described above.

Elemental Analyses. Analysis was performed on a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN elemental analyzer using acetonitrile as a calibration standard.
X-ray Diffraction (XRD) and Calculated Molecular Lengths. The XRD diffractogram of a xerogel from 2% P7 (Series B) in acetoneitrile was collected on a D/Max-2550/PC diffractometer with Cu Kα (λ = 0.154 nm) radiation generated at 40 kV and 40 mA. The scan rate was 1°/min. The xerogel was prepared by placing an aliquot of a gel directly onto a glass sample holder which was then freeze-dried as described above. XRD diffractograms of neat powders, neat liquids, and gels (using Pn of Series B) were conducted on a Rigaku R-AXIS image plate system with Cu Kα X-rays (λ = 0.154 nm) generated by a Rigaku generator operating at 40 kV and 40 mA with the collimator at 0.5 mm (to obtain 0.5-mm-diameter beams). Data processing and analyses used Materials Data JADE (v 5.0.35) XRD pattern processing software. Samples were sealed in 0.5 mm glass capillaries (W. Müller, Schönwalde, Germany), and diffraction data were collected for 2 (neat powder) or 10 h (gel and liquid). The extended molecular lengths of the Pn were calculated using Materials Studio 4.3 software with the addition of the van der Waals radii of terminal atoms. The energy minimization of gelator conformations was made using the Discover module.

UV–vis and CD. Spectra were recorded on a Chirascan circular dichroism spectrometer starting at 25 ºC. A hot sol of P7 was poured into a 1 mm path length quartz cell, which was sealed with a Teflon cap to avoid loss of liquid and cooled to room temperature to form a stable gel. The temperature-dependent spectra were recorded from low to high temperature in increments of 5 ºC, allowing 10 min equilibration times after each increase, to a maximum of 55 ºC. P7 samples at very low concentrations (0.1%) were placed in thin path length cells (vide infra) for UV–vis and CD measurements. They were visually transparent.

Rheology. Measurements were performed using a stress-controlled rheometer (TA ARG2 instrument) equipped with stainless steel parallel plates (20 mm diameter, 1 mm gap). A liquid trap device was placed above the top plate to minimize evaporation. Before data were recorded, a hot aliquot of Pn in 1-decanol was placed between the parallel plates of the rheometer and heated to 80 ºC to ensure that a solution/sol was present. The sample was cooled to 20 ºC at ~20 ºC/min and incubated there for 15 min to reform the gel. Linear viscoelastic regions of the gel samples were determined by measuring the storage modulus, G′ (associated with energy storage), and the loss modulus, G″ (associated with the loss of energy), as a function of the stress amplitude. Thereafter, the moduli were measured from 0.1 to 100 Hz at a constant shear stress in the linear region (12 Pa). The stress sweeps of each Pn were measured 3 times and averaged using new samples for each run. Reported error limits are the difference between the value in one run and the average value. Also, recovery experiments at a constant frequency were performed by applying a constant oscillatory shear stress which was sufficient to destroy the gel structure (i.e., well beyond the linear viscoelastic region) for 2 min followed by a very small, constant oscillatory shear stress (1 Hz, 1 Pa) in the linear viscoelastic region while recording G′ and G″ as a function of time.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Purification and Purity of Pn. The purification of Pn was very difficult due to the presence of glucocon groups. The initially purified material, referred to as Series A, was purified further to yield Pn denoted as Series B. The melting points of the Series B Pn are ~0.5 ºC higher than those of Series A, and their melting ranges are somewhat narrower (Supporting Information Table S1). In addition, elemental analyses of Series B Pn are closer to the values expected for a half-hydrate than those of Series A; although a common method to purify glucocon-containing compounds is to wash the crude product with32,33 or crystallize it from34 methanol, P4–P8 form very viscous suspensions in methanol without heating, or (as noted) gelate methanol with heating and cooling. Because the procedure for obtaining the Series B products is laborious and entails a significant loss of the product, and the difference between the elemental analyses for the two series is relatively small, < 2%,35 we have used the series A material except where noted in the text; series B material was employed only where greater purity was deemed necessary.

Gelation Properties. The results from attempts to gelate 30 different liquids in the presence of 2.5% of the Pn by heating and then cooling the mixtures, and the critical gelation concentrations (CGCs) of those that led to gels are summarized in Table 1. At this concentration, all of the Pn dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), pyridine, and n-butylamine without heating, and no gels were formed even when the solutions/sols were cooled to ~3 ºC in a refrigerator for several hours. Also, the Pn could not be dissolved in benzene, toluene, cyclohexane, n-nonane, diethyl ether, triethylamine, CH2Cl2, CHCl3, and CCl4 even when heated to the boiling points of these liquids. Both P2 and P327 are ambidextrous—they can gelate both water and some organic liquids. P4, P6, P7, and P8 were able to gelate the 10 alcohols tested (i.e., protic liquids), as well as acetonitrile, THF, acetone, ethyl acetate, and other relatively polar organic liquids, which are aprotic. However, they were unable to gelate water. The inability of the Pn with longer linkers between the saccharide and pyrenyl groups to gelate water is most easily attributed to their greater hydrophobicity. The increasing number of methylene units also enhances the solubility of the Pn in organic liquids, thereby altering the balance between aggregation and dissolution.39 In addition, some gels marked with “b”, such as P4 in methanol, could be formed when their mixtures were sonicated at room temperature.8 Gels such as P3 in 1-decanol were thixotropic36 at room temperature (i.e., these gels flowed immediately after being spun in a closed container on a spin coater for about 15 s and ceased to flow upon standing about 1 min without mechanical perturbation.37

As mentioned, P2 and P3 gelate water, and so do the corresponding naphthyl analogues with short spacers, N0 and N2. However, the comportment of the molecules with longer spacers are somewhat different: whereas P4–P8 form homogeneous suspensions in water after the heating–cooling process, N3–N6 dissolve in water only upon being heated and then precipitate when cooled to room temperature.38 More importantly, the Pn can gelate not only the protic liquids gelated by Nn, but also a wider variety of aprotic liquids. From a structural standpoint, the origin of the improved gelating ability of the Pn series may be related to their increased solubility in organic liquids and their enhanced π–π stacking.37 Both factors are known to be important contributors to the ability of many other molecules to be effective LMOGs.4,14 However, molecular packing considerations, to be discussed below, may play an important role as well.

Because gels of P2 became precipitates after one day, their CGCs could not be determined with confidence and they are not reported. Of the gels present at 2.5% of Pn, all except P2 were stable in sealed vessels at room temperature for at least one month. The Tgel values of gels of 2.5% Pn in the same liquid are near each other as a result of the similar structures and CGCs of the Pn. In some cases at 2.5%, such as P3 in 1-decanol and P7 in acetone, the Tgel values are much higher than those of other Pn gels; this result follows from P3 and P7 exhibiting the lowest CGCs in these liquids. The data in Figure S1 show that the Tgel of gels of P7 increase with increasing concentration up to ~1.5% and then reach a "plateau" value.

Many of the CGCs are less than 1%, so that the Pn are "supergelators".38 In fact, the CGCs of P3 in 1-butanol, 1-
heptanol, and 1-decanol, P6 in acetonitrile, P7 in acetonitrile and ethyl acetate, and P8 in ethyl acetate are less than 0.1%!
These concentrations translate to an ability of one molecule of P3 to immobilize ~3850 molecules of 1-decanol, and one molecule of P7 to immobilize ~7300 molecules of ethyl acetate. By contrast, the CGC of only N6 in acetonitrile is <0.1%. By this criterion, the Pn are again more efficient gelators than their Nn analogues.

**Gelation and Hansen Solubility Parameters (HSPs).** In an attempt to understand better the relationship between the gelator structures and the range of liquids that they are (and are not!) able to gelate, we have employed an analysis using HSPs. Table S2 summarized the HSPs of the liquids. The Hansen spaces are shown in Figure 1 for P3 and in Figures S2–S6 for the other Pn. As a result of the extremely high δh value of water and the obvious inability of current Hansen theory to provide reasonable results for the Pn samples in water—they skewed the plots—data from these samples were not included in the analyses. The polar interaction parameter, δp, and hydrogen bonding interaction parameter, δh, of the 30 liquids examined here cover a large portion of Hansen space, while the dispersive interaction parameter, δd, falls within a narrow range (14.5 < δd < 19 MPa0.5). In all of the graphs, the point for water is an outlier; current theory does not take into full account the properties of some of the liquids, at least as they apply to gelation by LMOGs. Furthermore, δh for the gelated liquids of P2 (Figure S2) and P3 (Figure 1) span a larger range of values (from 10 to 42 MPa0.5) than those of P4–P8 (from 6.1 to 22.3 MPa0.5). The ranges are consistent with P2 and P3 being ambidextrous and P4–P8 being only gelators of organic

---

**Figure 1.** Solubility data for 2.5% P3 in neat liquids represented in Hansen space. Blue (soluble), red (gel), and yellow (insoluble).

**Figure 2.** Critical gelation concentrations (CGCs) of P3 in some liquids as a function of (a) δp, (b) δh, (c) δd, (d) overall Hansen solubility parameter (δ), and (e) R in Hansen space from P3.
liquids.\textsuperscript{25,26} In addition, the Hansen spaces of P4−P8 are almost the same as a consequence of their similar gelation behavior in the liquids. However, the difference between Hansen spaces of P3 and N3 is obvious: there are more gel points in the Hansen space of P3 but more soluble points in that of N3 (Figure S7). For example, whereas P3 is an ambidextrous gelator, N3 is only an organo-gelator, and methanol is gelated by P3 while N3 dissolves in it.

Figure 2 shows correlations of the individual HSPs, the overall HSPs, and the distances in Hansen space from P3 with its CGCs in the gelated liquids.\textsuperscript{25,26} Although \(\delta_d\) (Figure 2c) does not appear to have a clear effect on the gelating ability of P3, the CGCs increase roughly with increasing \(\delta_p, \delta_h, \delta_r\), and \(R_a\) (Figure 2a,b,d,e, respectively); the gelating ability of P3 decreases in liquids with higher polarity and more hydrogen-bonding interactions.\textsuperscript{25} Similar correlations are found for the other Pn (Figures S8−S11). However, there is no trend that we can discern between the Hansen parameters and the CGCs of the Pn as a function of the linker length, \(n\). Regardless, it is clear that the changes in the gelating abilities of the LMOG homologues are a result of primarily polar and H-bonding interactions between the gelator molecules and the liquid components.

**Morphology Studies.** SEM images of the immobilizing networks of xerogels from gels with one liquid and different Pn homologues were compared (Figure 3). The xerogel samples were homogeneous, and SEM images taken at different parts of each sample were like the ones shown. The results demonstrate, as expected, that the morphologies of the xerogels depend upon both the structures of the gelator and the nature of the liquid. The aggregates of P2 from its hydrogel appear like a beehive, and differ from those of P3. The SEM images of the xerogels from gels of P4−P8 in acetonitrile were also compared. The xerogel from P4 in acetonitrile has a fibrous network structure, while those of P6, P7, and P8 in acetonitrile, although similar to each other, display belt-like structures. If the xerogel networks are like those in the actual gels (and this is not

Figure 3. SEM images of xerogels prepared from 2.0\% Pn: (a) P2 in water, (b) P3 in water, (c) P4 in acetonitrile, (d) P6 in acetonitrile, (e) P7 in acetonitrile, and (f) P8 in acetonitrile. Bar distances = 10 \(\mu m\).

Figure 4. (a) TEM image of a dried sol of 0.05\% P7 in acetonitrile. SEM images of xerogels made from P7/acetonitrile gels at initial [P7] = (b) 0.2\%, (c) 0.5\%, (d) 1.0\%, (e) 1.5\%, and (f) 2.0\%. (Distance bars = 10 \(\mu m\) except in (a)).
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always the case\cite{22,39}, the images suggest that aggregation increases with increasing spacer length $n$.

In an attempt to reveal the nature of the aggregates in greater detail, both TEM and SEM images were recorded for samples starting from sols and xerogels of P7 in acetonitrile. Careful inspection of TEM images of fibrils made from a 0.02% sol of P7 (Figure S12) appear to contain left-handed twisted fibers with ca. 4.5 nm width. Increasing the concentration of P7 to 0.05% resulted in a large amount of thread-like fibers with an average diameter of 14.5 nm (Figure 4a) and without apparent twisting (although some are bent). At still higher concentrations (Figure 4b–f), the fibrils become thicker and integrate into networks (Figure 4b), and finally fuse into lamellar-like structures (Figure 4f).

The static contact angle of a water droplet on a film of the xerogel made from 1.5% P7 in acetonitrile, 116.5 $\pm$ 3.0$^\circ$ (Figure S13), was larger than that on a film of the xerogel from 1.5% N2 in acetonitrile, 105.1 $\pm$ 1.0$^\circ$\cite{19}. The more hydrophobic surface of the xerogel from P7 is consistent with the aforementioned larger aromatic surface areas of pyrenyl than naphthyl and the longer spacer length.

**Packing Arrangements from XRD Studies.** Many diffraction peaks are detectable in the XRD diffractograms of neat Pn, especially P2 and P3 (Figure S14). The similarity among the diffractograms of P4–P8 is indicative of their packing arrangements being similar as well; all can be indexed to monoclinic lattices. In addition, the small incremental increases in the $d$ spacings calculated from the lowest angle peaks in the diffractograms and the similarity between the absolute $d$ values and the lengths calculated for the extended conformations of interdigitated pairs of P4–P8 molecules (e.g., for P7, the $d$ value is 4.16 nm and the calculated end-to-end distance of an interdigitated dimer is 4.36 nm) provide additional support for their packing arrangements being closely related. However, the morphologies of P2 and P3 are different from each other and from those of P4–P8 (Table S3). The $d$ value of the lowest angle peak of P2 is almost equal to the calculated length of an extended P2 molecule, and that of P3 is longer than the calculated length of an extended P3 molecule, but shorter than the calculated length of an interdigitated pair (Figure S15).

The XRD patterns of neat P7, a xerogel from a P7–acetonitrile gel, and a gel of P7 in acetonitrile are compared in Figure S16. The diffraction peaks of the P7 component in the gel were identified by subtracting empirically the amorphous scattering of the acetonitrile liquid from the total gel diffractogram.\cite{40} Unlike the monoclinic lattice of neat P7, the three reflection peaks of the P7 xerogel correspond to $d$ values of 4.16, 2.05, and 1.39 nm and follow a 1:(1:2):(1:3) progression ratio consistent with (but not definitive for, given the dearth of peaks) a lamellar organization.\cite{41} A lamellar packing arrangement is suggested as well by the TEM (Figures 4a and S12) and SEM (Figure 4b–f) images of fibers in the xerogel. However, the diffraction pattern of the P7 gel is less clear; it consists of one small peak with a $d$ value of 4.16 nm and another broad peak at higher angle (smaller distance). The differences between the XRD patterns and electron microscopy images of neat P7 and its xerogel demonstrate that the molecular packing arrangements of P7 in the two phases are not the same, and that P7 molecules in the xerogel adopt the more regular packing arrangement. Although the basis for the differences cannot be discerned from the information in hand, the three phases of P7 display the same lowest angle $d$ value, 4.16 nm. That distance is suggestive of lamellar arrangements for all and is close to the diameter of the smallest fibrils observed by TEM (Figure S12). Thus, we hypothesize that the packing arrangement of the P7 molecules in the strands, fibers, and sheets, of the xerogels involves an interdigitated head-to-tail orientation (Scheme S2).

**Spectroscopic Studies.** With increasing temperature, the peaks in the UV–vis absorption spectra of 0.1% P7 in acetonitrile at ~280, 350, and 380 nm increase and that at ~295 nm decreases (Figure 5). Such changes are expected if $\pi$–$\pi$ stacking of pyrenyl moieties plays an important role in the gelation.\cite{42} Because the absorption band at 377 nm is red-shifted by about 3 nm as the sol cools to its gel state (where intermolecular association among P7 molecules increases), the pyrenyl groups appear to be in J-type aggregates (as do the N2 molecules in acetonitrile\cite{19}). Also, the presence of 5 isosbestic points indicates that the species in the sol and gel phases interconvert directly, without the presence of a significant amount of any new intermediates. We propose that an important driving force for J-type aggregation of the pyrenyl groups is related to H-bonding of the glucono units at the opposite end of the P7 molecules.

Circular dichroism (CD) spectra of P7 in its sol and gel phases (Figure 6) show a clear increase in intensity with increasing aggregation. The CD intensity frequently increases when chiral LMOG molecules self-assemble with appropriate orientations.\cite{13,43} The influence of increased aggregation on CD intensities is most apparent in Figure 6b where the very strong bands from 0.1% P7 in acetonitrile at 25 $^\circ$C virtually disappear at 55 $^\circ$C; as indicated in Figure S17, the intensity changes are completely reversible with temperature. Thus, the CD signals originate from the chirality of the supramolecular aggregates rather than from the inherent chirality of the LMOG molecules.\cite{44} Analogous results were obtained from N2 in acetonitrile.\cite{19} Taken together, these results support a model in which the P7 molecules are organized in helical arrangements within their fibrillar networks.\cite{45}

Fluorescence measurements have been used as well to probe the self-assembly of 1.5% P7 (Series B) in acetonitrile (Figure 7). Emissions from both phases include structured peaks from monomeric pyrenyl singlet states and a broad band from an excimeric emission (Figure 7a). Although the absorption and CD spectral studies show that the P7 molecules are more
associated in the gel phase than in the sol phase, the relative intensity at the wavelength maximum of the excimeric emission is higher in the less aggregated sol phase. The same trend was found in the N2 system,\textsuperscript{19} but some others are known to behave differently.\textsuperscript{22} However, deconvoluting the spectra into their monomeric and excimeric components was not possible due to the multiple species present (as indicated by the excitation spectra in Figure S18). Regardless, the spectra in Figure 7a show a clear and marked difference between the positions and shapes of the excimeric components of emission in the gel and sol phases. As indicated by the inset of Figure 7a, the changes are reversible. Although the relative intensities of the monomer and excimer emissions in the sample cooled to room temperature from the sol phase change with time (increasing $I_{381 \text{ nm}}/I_{473 \text{ nm}}$ intensity ratio; Figure 7b), the shape and emission maximum of the excimer band change only slightly (Figure S19). Thus, over time, the P7 molecules within the initially formed gel network rearrange to environments less conducive to excimer formation. The change of the ratio with time may be due to Ostwald ripening\textsuperscript{46} and related phenomena. Similar phenomena have been reported by others in different gelator assemblies.\textsuperscript{47,48}

Note also that the maximum emission wavelength of the excimeric emission of the sol phase, 488 nm, is red-shifted by 10 nm with respect to that of the gel phase,\textsuperscript{49} and the red shift occurred with increasing temperature (inset of Figure 7a). This difference may arise from a change in the local polarity experienced by the pyrenyl units in the two phases or by an inability of the excimeric geometries to be achieved rapidly (leading to blue-shifted emissions). At the elevated temperatures of the melted gel (i.e., the sol phase), pyrenyl units are more exposed to acetonitrile molecules which provide a relatively polar microenvironment. In the gel phase, the polarity of the microenvironment of pyrenyl units may be lower due to $\pi-\pi$ stacking of pyrenyl units and exclusion of acetonitrile molecules from their immediate vicinity. In such a case, the emission wavelength maximum of the excimer would be blue-shifted in the gel phase with respect to the sol phase.\textsuperscript{50} A lower average polarity for the pyrenyl groups of P7 in the gel phase is indicated also by a decrease in $I_{1}/I_{3}$, the ratio between the intensities of the first and third monomer vibronic peaks, from 1.31 in the sol phase to 1.24 in the gel phase (the $I_{1}/I_{3}$ ratio of pyrene in acetonitrile is 1.79, and in less polar ethanol and benzene is 1.18 and 1.05, respectively).\textsuperscript{51}

Overall, these results indicate that the greater mobility of the P7 molecules in the sol phase allows facile reorientations within the excited singlet lifetimes of neighboring pyrenyl groups into the necessary excimeric geometry, and that the preferred orientations of neighboring pyrenyl groups in the networks of the gel phase are not very close to the optimal excimeric

---

**Figure 6.** (a) CD spectra of P7 at 0.05% in its DMF sol, and in its transparent gels at 0.1% in ethyl acetate and acetonitrile at 25 °C. (b) Temperature-dependence of the CD spectrum of a transparent gel of 0.1% P7 in acetonitrile. Inset: CD intensity at 295 nm as a function of temperature. The optical densities of these samples were less than 0.7 at the wavelength maxima near 300 nm.

**Figure 7.** (a) Fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{ex}$ 350 nm; normalized at 378 nm) of 1.5% (2.6 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ M) P7 (Series B) in acetonitrile in the gel (20 °C) and sol (80 °C; $T_{gel} = 79 °C$) phases. The inset shows the temperature-dependent wavelength maximum of excimeric emission. (b) Effect of time on the value of the $I_{381 \text{ nm}}/I_{484 \text{ nm}}$ emission ratio after formation of the gel by cooling its sol rapidly to 20 °C.
geometry and are less able to form excimers due to more severe packing constraints.

As mentioned previously, glucono units have been introduced into the Pn structures as a means to promote strong intermolecular H-bonding interactions and to enforce segregation among the structural units of the Pn as they aggregate. The spectroscopic tools employed in the discussion above probe primarily the pyrenyl part of the Pn molecules. FTIR spectra of the xerogels of P7 from acetonitrile and ethanol, and of P7 in a DMF solution/sol have been used to investigate the expected H-bonding interactions of the glucono part (Figure S21). The spectrum of P7 in DMF contains bands at 3326, 1639, 1313 (1140), and 1540 cm\(^{-1}\) that can be attributed to the stretching vibrations of OH(NH), CO, and SO, and the bending vibration of NH, respectively.\(^{52}\) In the xerogels, these bands shift to 3274, 1624, 1304 (1128), and 1545 cm\(^{-1}\) (P7/ethanol) and to 3278, 1625, 1307 (1132), and 1548 cm\(^{-1}\) (P7/acetonitrile). These shifts are consistent with the formation of intermolecular H-bonds as aggregation of P7 occurs.\(^{16}\)

Additional information about the nature of the aggregation process for P7 was obtained from the temperature and concentration dependence of its \(^1\)H NMR spectra in DMSO-d\(_{6}\), a liquid in which the gelator is readily soluble (Figure S22). At 4.0% (0.07 mol/L) P7, the proton signals at room temperature can be assigned based on molecules with similar structures.\(^{53}\) Hydroxyl peaks from the glucono moiety shifted from 5.35 and 4.58–4.39 at 25 °C to 4.98 and 4.18–4.10 ppm at 85 °C. The NH signals shifted from 8.09 and 7.46 to 7.68 and 7.20 ppm over the same temperature range (Figure S22a). The observed shifts are attributed to decreased inter- and/or intra-molecular hydrogen-bonding interactions as the temperature is increased.\(^{54}\) To distinguish between the inter- and intra-molecular interactions, spectra at 25 °C were recorded at different concentrations (Figure S22b); as the concentration of the gelator increases, the relative contribution of intermolecular H-bonding interactions also increases. In the range of 1.0% (0.02 mol/L) to 8.0% (0.14 mol/L) P7, signals of the hydroxyl and NH groups shifted slightly to lower field with increasing concentration. Given the large temperature dependence of the hydroxyl proton signals at 4.0% (0.07 mol/L) P7 and the much smaller dependence as the concentration was doubled to 8% (0.14 mol/L), we conclude that the perturbations caused by inter-molecular interactions are relatively small. The intra-molecular H-bonding networks are largely retained as aggregation occurs and the influence of the glucono–glucono interactions on the nature of the aggregate structures is primarily from electrostatic forces (i.e., polarity). Of course, the balance between inter- and intra-molecular H-bonding interactions within aggregates of the Pn will change with the characteristics of the liquid employed. However, the results in DMSO seem to point to the greater importance of effects more easily explained by Hansen-type parameters.

In Hansen space of P7 (Figure S23), DMSO is outside the soluble sphere but inside the gel shell (at 2.5% concentration), and the distance (\(R_{DMSO}\)) from P7 (\(\delta_g = 10.08 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}\), \(\delta_d = 9.58 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}\), and \(\delta_a = 17.10 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}\)) to DMSO is 6.87 \text{ MPa}^{0.5} (eq 2); this distance is between \(R_{sol}\) (5.53 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}, the radius of the soluble sphere) and \(R_{gel}\) (8.79 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}, the radius of the gelation sphere). In general, if this distance is smaller than an empirical and case-specific value of \(R_{gel}\), the molecule and the liquid are expected to have a high probability of being miscible.\(^{25,55}\) Thus, P7 molecules may be somewhat aggregated in DMSO—\(R_{DMSO}\) is less than \(R_{gel}\)—consistent with the proton shifts when changing the concentration of P7 in DMSO. However, because \(\delta_g(10.2 \text{ MPa}^{0.5})\) and \(\delta_d(18.4 \text{ MPa}^{0.5})\) of DMSO are very close to those of P7, much of the P7 may remain dissociated even at the highest concentration investigated, 8%.\(^{55}\) The relatively small dependence of the hydroxyl proton signals on concentration may be a consequence of the ease of intramolecular H-bonding that competes with intermolecular H-bonding even when significant aggregation of the P7 molecules occurs. Such a scenario also explains the small variations in chemical shifts of the NMR spectra when temperature or concentration is changed.

The pyrenyl signals provide additional information about \(\pi-\pi\) stacking.\(^{16}\) The chemical shift of the \(\alpha-H\) (\(\delta_H\) in the inset of Figure S22a) of the pyrenyl ring at 4% P7 is at 9.00–9.03 ppm at 25 °C and moves to 9.03–9.07 ppm at 85 °C (Figure S22a); the same signal is shifted slightly to lower field with increasing concentration (Figure S22b). These modest shifts are consistent with the UV–vis and fluorescence results which indicate that the pyrenyl units are not oriented well with respect to each other even in the gel phase. However, the change in the chemical shift of the \(\alpha-H\) (next to the sulphonyl group) in the napthyl ring of N2 in DMSO-\(d_6\) is smaller than that of \(H_b\) in the pyrenyl analogues. This observation is suggestive of but not definitive proof of better \(\pi-\pi\) stacking in the gel networks of the Pn series.

**Rheology of the Gels.** A strict definition of a gel must include specific mechanical behavior. For that reason and because some of the gels in Table 1 are thixotropic at room temperature, the rheological properties of several of the Pn gels have been examined.

2.0% P3–P8/1-decanol gels were selected for investigation of the effect of spacer length on the mechanical properties of the gels. The rheology of the P2 gel was not examined due to its instability; as mentioned, it undergoes phase separation at room temperature after \(\sim\)1 day. The storage modulus, \(G'\), and loss modulus, \(G''\), were measured as a function of shear stress at 20 °C. The shear stress sweeps of each Pn in Figure 8 are the average of 3 measurements, and the \(G'\) and \(G''\) values at stress = 10 Pa, \(G'/G''\) ratios, and yield stress are summarized in Table S4. From the results in Figure 8, the initial \(G'\) is higher than \(G''\) by about 1 order of magnitude in each sample and remains
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higher over large ranges of shear stress. This is the comportment expected of a true gel phase. With a gradual increase in applied stress, both $G'$ and $G''$ remain almost invariant (i.e., in the linear viscoelastic region), and at a certain yield stress, they cross, indicating a mechanical breakup of the gels; beyond the yield stress, they deviate from linearity. From the results in Figure 9, both the value of $G'/G''$ at the initial stress and yield stress decrease and then increase with increasing length of the spacer of $P_n$. Furthermore, the $P_4$ gel is the most fragile as indicated by its lowest $G'/G''$ value (4.3 ± 0.1) and yield stress (30 ± 3 Pa). The $P_3$ gel is the most stable mechanically; it possesses the largest $G'/G''$ ratio (11.1 ± 0.3) and yield stress (4460 ± 160 Pa). Because the CGCs of $P_3$–$P_8$ in 1-decanol are very low (<0.3%) and the concentrations employed in the rheological studies are 2.0%, the large differences in the mechanical properties of the $P_n$ gels cannot be attributed to the small concentrations of the gelator molecules not incorporated within the networks. The $G'/G''$ values at the initial stress and the yield stresses (except $P_3$) indicate that the mechanical properties of the gels improve with increasing spacer length of the $P_n$ (Figure 9).

X-ray diffractograms of even 10% $P_n$ in 1-decanol (Figure S24 and Table S5) provide very little useful information about the molecular packing arrangements in these gel networks; they lack sharp diffraction peaks. The similar optical microscopy images of 2% $P_3$–$P_8$ in 1-decanol (Figure S25) cannot supply any information about the different mechanical stabilities of these gels, either. However, because the $T_{gel}$ and $G'/G''$ values of 2% $P_n$ in 1-decanol (Figure S26) follow similar trends, the mechanical strengths may be related to the energy needed to melt the networks.

$P_7$ was chosen to investigate the role of gelator concentration on the rheological properties of the gels. As the $P_7$ concentration in 1-decanol was increased from 0.5% to 2.0% (Table S6), $G'/G''$ at stress = 10 Pa increased drastically, from 0.9 ± 0.05 to 8.7 ± 0.15, and the yield stress changed from a very low value, 7.9 ± 1 Pa, to 1412 ± 80 Pa. Thus, both the elastic property (including thixotropy) of the gel and the stability of the gel network depend acutely on gelator concentration. Also, as expected of a true gel, $G'$ of a 2% $P_7$ in 1-decanol is nearly constant over a frequency range of 0.01 to 100 Hz and remains greater than the associated $G''$ (Figure S27). This is the typical behavior of a gel (albeit a weak one, given the absolute magnitude of $G'$).

Finally, as mentioned above, some of the gels in Table 1, including 2% $P_7$ in 1-decanol, show thixotropic properties at room temperature. In order to determine the magnitude and reproducibility of the thixotropic behavior, the shear–stress stimulus response was examined. A 2% $P_7$ in 1-decanol gel was sheared at a constant stress of 1680 Pa for 2 min, and then the evolution of the moduli as functions of time was monitored. During the recovery process, a shear stress of 1 Pa and a frequency of 1 Hz were applied to minimize perturbations to the gel reformation; the time axis was started as rapidly as the rheometer allowed (ca. 2 s delay) after the end of the destructive shear (Figure S28). The inset reveals that the gel network was destroyed partially by the high stress; it became more viscous than elastic as evidenced by $G' < G''$. However, the sample recovered 55% of its elastic properties after cessation of the high stress.

**Model for Molecular Packing of $P_n$ Molecules in their Gel Networks.** On the basis of the data presented above, a

**Figure 9.** Yield stress and $G'/G''$ ratios of gels of 2% $P_3$–$P_8$ in 1-decanol at 20 °C.
possible formation process for the gel networks of P7 in acetonitrile (and, presumably, the other Pn to varying degrees) is proposed (Chart 2). It relies on the conclusions derived from several experimental observations:

1. Spectroscopic measurements indicate that $\pi-\pi$ stacking of J-type aggregates and intermolecular H-bonding are important driving forces for the formation and maintenance of the gel networks. Synergistic operation of the forces enhances the probability that the gelator molecules will aggregate into fibrils whose diameter is equal to the length of an interdigitated pair of P7 molecules.

2. From contact angle measurements, the surfaces of the fibrils from the organogels are hydrophobic.

3. CD and TEM measurements indicate that the individual fibrils aggregate into twisted, thin fibers which subsequently aggregate into belts and then fuse into the lamellar structures implied by the XRD data.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, a series of fluorescent Pn LMOGs, based on glucono and pyrenyl groups, has been synthesized and their abilities to gelate a wide variety of liquids have been examined empirically and by Hansen solubility parameters. The nature of the gels and their properties, determined by a variety of techniques, have allowed several conclusions about the importance of spacer lengths between the glucono and pyrenyl groups from internal comparisons of the Pn and external comparisons between the Pn and naphthyl analogues, Nn, to be derived. The range of gelator behaviors among the Pn is extremely broad: some of the Pn are amphoteric, some are "supergelators", and some of their gels are thixotropic. As expected, $\pi-\pi$ stacking (in J-type aggregates) and intermolecular H-bonding interactions synergistically drive the formation of the gel networks. This series of LMOGs demonstrates how the addition or removal of even one methylene group in a fairly large molecule can alter dramatically its ability to self-assemble and to interact with a liquid.

The correlations between the gelation behaviors of the Pn and Hansen solubility parameters indicate that the changes among the LMOG homologues are primarily a result of polar and H-bonding interactions between the gelator molecules and the liquid components. Also, the morphologies and microstructures of the gel networks depend strongly upon the length of the spacer group in the Pn. Finally, the mechanical properties of the gels can be controlled to some extent by adjusting the spacer length or by changing the gelator concentration.

The results derived from these studies offer insights into how to design other surfactant-containing LMOGs. We intend to explore the extent to which the design criteria implied by the results with the Pn (as well as the comparisons made with the structurally similar Nn) can be used to construct new classes of molecules with predefined gelating properties.
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1. Syntheses of glucono-based pyrenyl-containing compounds

**Materials:** 1,3-Propanediamine (99+%), 1,4-butanediamine (99%), 1,7-heptanedi amine (99%), 1,8-octanedi amine (99%), and D-glucono-1,5-lactone (99%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar and used as received. Pyrene (Acros, 96%) was recrystallized from ethanol and then extracted with ethanol in a Soxhlet extractor. 1,2-Ethlenediamine (analytical grade) was treated with solid KOH and distilled before use. All solvents and other chemicals used for syntheses were analytical grade and were purified by standard literature methods.

These compounds P2-P8, which are the final gelators with spacers containing 1,2-ethlenediamine, 1,3-propanediamine, 1,4-butanediamine, 1,6-hexanedi amine, 1,7-heptanedi amine, and 1,8-octanedi amine, respectively, were synthesized by adopting literature methods as described below (Scheme S1).

![Scheme S1. Synthesis of glucono-appended pyrenyl derivatives (Pn).](image)

(a) Synthesis of Sodium Pyrene-1-sulfonate (SPS):
Pyrene (4.85 g, 0.0240 mol) was dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (30 mL). Chlorosulfonic acid (1.60 mL, 0.0240 mol), dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (5 mL), was added drop-wise to the pyrene solution while stirring vigorously at 0 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. Stirring was continued for a total of 2 h. The resulting dark-green solution was poured (with extreme caution) into ice (50 cm$^3$) and stirred in a beaker, allowing CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to evaporate in air at room temperature over a 2-day period. This solution was filtered twice through celite and the celite pad was washed with H$_2$O (100 mL). The yellow sodium salt of SPS was precipitated upon addition of NaOH (1.00 g, 0.0250 mol) to the filtrate. The solid was filtered and vacuum-dried (~ 1000 Pa) at 65 °C to yield 5.20 g (71%) of SPS sodium salt which was used in subsequent reactions without further purification.

(b) Synthesis of Pyrene-1-sulfonyl Chloride (PSC):

Hydrogen chloride was generated by adding concentrated sulfuric acid to sodium chloride and was dried by passing the gas through concentrated sulfuric acid before bubbling it through diethyl ether. The concentration of dissolved HCl, ~1 mol/L, was determined by adding 1 mL of the HCl/diethyl ether solution to ca. 10 mL of water followed by titration with a standard sodium hydroxide solution and phenolphthalein as the indicator. A 30 mL aliquot of the HCl/diethyl ether solution was added to a solution of SPS (9.10 g, 0.0300 mol) in DMF (200 mL) to generate the sulfonic acid. Thionyl chloride (22 mL, 0.180 mol) was then added dropwise under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was placed in an ice-water bath (~5 °C) for 3 h, and then the solution was poured into ice (400 cm$^3$). The yellow precipitate was filtered and washed with H$_2$O (500 mL). The solid was air-dried overnight then vacuum-dried (~ 1000 Pa) at 55 °C for 12 h. The crude PSC was passed through a silica gel column with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ as eluent ($R_f = 0.85$) to yield 7.20 g (yield 80%) of PSC. Elemental analysis (EA) (%) calcd for PSC C$_{16}$H$_9$SO$_2$Cl: C, 63.89; H, 3.00. Found: C, 63.60; H, 2.99.

(c) Syntheses of $N$-(1-Pyrenylsulfonyl)alkyldiamines (1-6):

The $N$-(1-pyrenylsulfonyl)alkyldiamines were prepared from PSC and one of 1,2-ethylenediamine, 1,3-propanediamine, 1,4-butanediamine, 1,6-hexanediamine, 1,7-heptanediamine, or 1,8-octanediamine.

1,2-Ethylenediamine (4.50 mL, 0.0670 mol) was added to CHCl$_3$ (50 mL) and stirred rapidly at 0 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. PSC (2.00 g, 6.60 mmol) was dissolved in CHCl$_3$ (300 mL) and added dropwise to the stirred diamine solution. After addition was completed (about 8 h), the mixture was brought to room temperature and stirred for another 2 h. The CHCl$_3$ layer was extracted with H$_2$O
(6x200 mL) and 5% aqueous NaCl (250 mL), dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was dried in vacuum (~1000 Pa) to give 1 as a yellow solid (1.87 g, 80%). The procedures used for the preparation of 2-6 were similar to that for 1. The yields for 2-6 were 75%, 78%, 75%, 80% and 70%, respectively.

(d) Syntheses of P2-P8:

D-glucono-1,5-lactone (0.940 g, 5.30 mmol) and compound 1 (1.72 g, 5.30 mmol) were dissolved in methanol (200 mL), and the mixture was stirred and refluxed in air for 10 h. The residue obtained after the solvent was removed by evaporation was washed with chloroform (6x50 mL) to remove unreacted compound 1 and with water (6x50 mL) to remove unreacted lactone or species derived from it. The remaining solid was dried in vacuum (~1000 Pa) to give a yellow powder (1.60 g, 60% yield), P2. Analogous procedures were used for the preparations of P3, P4, P6, P7 and P8. However, the purification procedures for P4, P6, P7 and P8 were somewhat different.

To purify P4, 100 mL of water were added to the residue which had been washed with CHCl₃; the mixture became a very viscous suspension which could not be filtered. NaCl solid (1 wt % of the coarse P4) was added to this suspension followed by centrifugation of the mixture at ~10,000 rpm for 30 min. The supernatant liquid was removed and the solid was treated as above with aqueous NaCl 7 more times; between each centrifugation, the solid was collected and stirred in an aqueous NaCl solution for 30 min. Finally, the solid was washed with water, centrifuged and dried in vacuum (~1000 Pa) to give P4 as a yellow powder (1.68 g, 56% yield). The procedures for the purification of P6, P7 and P8 are similar to that for P4.

For P2: 60% yield. mp 173.5-175 ºC. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆/Me₄Si, 300 MHz) δ (ppm): 8.97-9.00 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1H), 8.59-8.61 (d, J = 6 Hz, 1H), 8.20-8.50 (m, 8H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 5.33 (s, 1H), 4.30-4.52 (m, 4H), 3.86-3.92 (d, 2H), 3.45-3.55 (m, 4H), 3.10 (s, 2H), 2.88 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆/Me₄Si, 100 MHz): δ 173.19 (1C, CO), 134.40, 132.69, 130.96, 130.40, 130.12, 129.98, 127.57, 127.54, 127.45, 127.37, 127.27, 127.15, 124.73, 124.67, 123.75, 123.61 (16C, ArC), 73.86, 72.69, 71.88, 70.39, 63.74 (5C, COH), 42.23, 38.61 (2C, CH₂). FTIR (KBr) νₓ (cm⁻¹): 3407 (NH), 3533-3045 (OH); 3380 (HNSO₂); 2927 (CH); 1652 (C=O); 1533 (NH); 1415 (CN); 1309, 1151 (S=O). EA (%) calcd for C₂₄H₂₆N₂O₈S·½H₂O: C, 56.35; H, 5.32; N, 5.48. Found: C, 56.75; H, 5.11; N, 5.37.
For **P3**: 65% yield. mp 167-168.5 °C. $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$/Me$_4$Si, 300 MHz) $\delta$ (ppm): 8.97-9.00 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1H), 8.59-8.61 (d, J = 6 Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.50 (m, 7H), 8.10 (s, 1H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 5.33 (s, 1H), 4.32-4.54 (m, 4H), 3.87-3.95 (m, 2H), 3.38-3.56 (m, 4H), 3.00-3.01 (t, J = 3 Hz 2H), 2.51-2.86 (t, J = 6 Hz, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (DMSO-$d_6$/Me$_4$Si, 100 MHz): $\delta$ 172.97 (1C, CO), 134.32, 133.09, 130.97, 130.33, 130.13, 129.89, 127.56, 127.50, 127.41, 127.38, 127.24, 127.06, 124.73, 124.68, 123.83, 123.63 (16C, ArC), 73.98, 72.80, 71.93, 70.47, 63.75 (5C, COH), 42.22, 35.99, 29.82 (3C, CH$_2$). FTIR (KBr) $\nu_{max}$ (cm$^{-1}$): 3405 (NH), 3590-3050 (OH); 3396 (HNSO$_2$); 2933 (CH); 1646 (C=O); 1548 (NH); 1438 (CN); 1311, 1149 (S=O). EA (%) calcd for C$_{25}$H$_{28}$N$_2$O$_8$S·½H$_2$O: C, 57.13; H, 5.56; N, 5.33. Found: C, 57.07; H, 5.35; N, 5.31.

For **P4**: 56% yield. mp 131.8-133.5 °C. $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$/Me$_4$Si, 300 MHz) $\delta$ (ppm): 9.01-9.04 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1H), 8.60-8.62 (d, J = 6 Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.50 (m, 7H), 8.12 (s, 1H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 5.35 (s, 1H), 4.38-4.59 (m, 4H), 3.90-3.97 (m, 2H), 3.42-3.62 (m, 4H), 2.84-2.96 (b, 4H), 1.35-1.46 (b, 4H). $^{13}$C NMR (DMSO-$d_6$/Me$_4$Si, 100 MHz): $\delta$ 172.71 (1C, CO), 134.29, 133.03, 130.95, 130.29, 130.12, 129.84, 127.53, 127.37, 127.20, 127.10, 127.05, 124.71, 124.63, 124.31, 123.90, 123.62 (16C, ArC), 74.04, 72.84, 71.92, 70.48, 63.78 (5C, COH), 42.57, 37.94, 26.85, 26.63 (4C, CH$_2$). FTIR (KBr) $\nu_{max}$ (cm$^{-1}$): 3408 (NH), 3600-3072 (OH); 3380 (HNSO$_2$); 2935 (CH); 1639 (C=O); 1542 (NH); 1438 (CN); 1307, 1141 (S=O). EA (%) calcd for C$_{26}$H$_{30}$N$_2$O$_8$S·½H$_2$O: C, 57.87; H, 5.79; N, 5.19. Found: C, 57.30; H, 5.67; N, 5.30.

For **P6**: 60% yield. mp 127.0-129.5 °C. $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$/Me$_4$Si, 300 MHz) $\delta$ (ppm): 9.01-9.04 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1H), 8.60-8.63 (d, J = 6 Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.49 (m, 7H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 5.36 (s, 1H), 4.38-4.58 (m, 4H), 3.90-3.97 (m, 2H), 3.50-3.60 (m, 4H), 2.52-2.88 (b, 4H), 0.96-1.27 (m, 8H). $^{13}$C NMR (DMSO-$d_6$/Me$_4$Si, 100 MHz): $\delta$ 172.56 (1C, CO), 134.30, 133.14, 130.95, 130.30, 130.13, 129.81, 127.54, 127.38, 127.21, 127.13, 127.06, 124.74, 124.62, 124.32, 123.92, 123.62 (16C, ArC), 74.03, 72.84, 71.91, 70.50, 63.80 (5C, COH), 42.71, 38.40, 29.40, 29.31, 26.11, 26.01 (6C, CH$_2$). FTIR (KBr) $\nu_{max}$ (cm$^{-1}$): 3410 (NH), 3595-3045 (OH); 3375 (HNSO$_2$); 2935 (CH); 1639 (C=O); 1542 (NH); 1438 (CN); 1307, 1141 (S=O). EA (%) calcd for C$_{28}$H$_{34}$N$_2$O$_8$S·½H$_2$O: C, 59.24; H, 6.21; N, 4.93. Found: C, 59.92; H, 6.09; N, 4.98.

For **P7**: 55% yield. mp 139.9-142.4 °C. $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$/Me$_4$Si, 300 MHz) $\delta$ (ppm): 9.00-9.03 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1H), 8.59-8.61 (d, J = 6 Hz, 1H), 8.20-8.48 (m, 7H), 8.10 (s, 1H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 5.35 (s, 1H), 4.35-4.58 (m, 4H), 3.90-3.97 (m, 2H), 3.38-3.60 (m, 4H), 2.80-2.82 (b, 4H), 0.85-1.24 (m, 10H). $^{13}$C
NMR (DMSO-$d_6$/Me$_4$Si, 100 MHz): $\delta$ 172.53 (1C, CO), 134.32, 133.17, 130.96, 130.30, 130.13, 129.80, 127.55, 127.39, 127.21, 127.15, 127.06, 124.73, 124.59, 124.32, 123.93, 123.63 (16C, ArC), 74.02, 72.85, 71.91, 70.51, 63.80 (5C, COH), 42.66, 38.47, 29.29, 29.26, 28.48, 26.48, 26.16 (7C, CH$_2$).

FTIR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (cm$^{-1}$): 3419 (NH), 3590 (OH); 3375 (HNSO$_2$); 2929 (CH); 1625 (C=O); 1544 (NH); 1430 (CN); 1308, 1141 (S=O). EA (%) calcd for C$_{29}$H$_{36}$N$_2$O$_8$S·½H$_2$O: C, 59.88; H, 6.41; N, 4.82. Found: C, 59.71; H, 6.27; N, 4.94.

For P8: 50% yield. mp 141.1-144.3°C. $^1$H NMR (DMSO-$d_6$/Me$_4$Si, 300 MHz) $\delta$ (ppm): 9.00-9.03 (d, $J$ = 9 Hz, 1H), 8.59-8.62 (d, $J$ = 9 Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.48 (m, 7H), 8.05 (s, 1H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 5.32 (s, 1H), 4.32-4.52 (m, 4H), 3.91-3.97 (m, 2H), 3.41-3.59 (m, 4H), 2.82-2.81 (b, 4H), 0.77-1.19 (m, 12H). $^{13}$C NMR (DMSO-$d_6$/Me$_4$Si, 100 MHz): $\delta$ 172.55 (1C, CO), 134.33, 133.20, 130.97, 130.31, 130.13, 129.78, 127.54, 127.39, 127.20, 127.17, 127.05, 124.73, 124.57, 124.33, 123.94, 123.63 (16C, ArC), 74.04, 72.86, 71.93, 70.54, 63.82 (5C, COH), 42.60, 38.55, 29.37, 29.16, 28.88, 28.66, 26.51, 26.10 (8C, CH$_2$). FTIR (KBr) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (cm$^{-1}$): 3410 (NH), 3525-3050 (OH); 3370 (HNSO$_2$); 2921 (CH); 1637 (C=O); 1540 (NH); 1427 (CN); 1311, 1139 (S=O). EA (%) calcd for C$_{30}$H$_{38}$N$_2$O$_8$S·½H$_2$O: C, 60.49; H, 6.60; N, 4.70. Found: C, 60.47; H, 6.41; N, 4.82.

(e) Further purification of P4-P8:

The P4-P8 obtained using above-mentioned method are denoted as Series A. Aliquots were purified further, as described in detail for P4. P4 (100 mg) was added to 1 mL of methanol and heated in a water bath until the solid dissolved. After cooling to room temperature, a very stable gel formed. The gel was destroyed mechanically with a glass rod, the liquid was removed with a pipette, the solid was washed with cold methanol, and the solid was collected by filtration. This process was repeated 3 times. Finally, the obtained solid was dried under vacuum (~3000 Pa) at 40 °C for 48 h. The P4-P8 aliquots purified with methanol are designated as Series B. The melting points and the elemental analyses of the P4-P8 of both series are summarized in Table S1.
Table S1. Melting points and elemental analyses (EA) of Pn obtained by different protocols.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pn</th>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Protocol and EA</th>
<th>Melting point (ºC)</th>
<th>C%</th>
<th>H%</th>
<th>N%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Washed with water</td>
<td>173.5-175.0</td>
<td>56.75</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EA calcd. for C_{24}H_{26}N_{2}O_{8}S•(\frac{1}{2})H_{2}O</td>
<td>56.35</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Washed with water</td>
<td>167.0-168.5</td>
<td>57.07</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EA calcd. for C_{25}H_{28}N_{2}O_{8}S•(\frac{1}{2})H_{2}O</td>
<td>57.13</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Centrifugation with water and NaCl</td>
<td>131.8-133.5</td>
<td>57.30</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>5.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Gel washed with methanol</td>
<td>132.0-134.0</td>
<td>57.82</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>5.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EA calcd. for C_{26}H_{30}N_{2}O_{8}S•(\frac{1}{2})H_{2}O</td>
<td>57.87</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Centrifugation with water and NaCl</td>
<td>127.0-129.5</td>
<td>59.92</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Gel washed with methanol</td>
<td>128.7-130.5</td>
<td>59.65</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EA calcd. for C_{28}H_{34}N_{2}O_{8}S•(\frac{1}{2})H_{2}O</td>
<td>59.24</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Centrifugation with water and NaCl</td>
<td>139.9-142.4</td>
<td>59.71</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>4.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Gel washed with methanol</td>
<td>140.0-142.5</td>
<td>59.93</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EA calcd. for C_{30}H_{36}N_{2}O_{8}S•(\frac{1}{2})H_{2}O</td>
<td>59.88</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Centrifugation with water and NaCl</td>
<td>141.1-144.3</td>
<td>60.47</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Gel washed with methanol</td>
<td>142.0-144.5</td>
<td>60.48</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EA calcd. for C_{32}H_{38}N_{2}O_{8}S•(\frac{1}{2})H_{2}O</td>
<td>60.49</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. $T_{\text{gel}}$ values of 1-decanol gels as a function of P7 concentration.

![Graph showing $T_{\text{gel}}$ values as a function of concentration](image)

**Figure S1.** $T_{\text{gel}}$ values of 1-decanol gels as a function of P7 concentration. Temperature ranges of vertical bars refer to when the initial and final portions of a gel sample fell on being heated slowly in an inverted test tube.
3. Table of Hansen solubility parameters of the liquids examined and the CGCs of P3

Table S2. Hansen solubility parameters (MPa$^{0.5}$) of liquids examined, their distances ($R$) in Hansen space from P3 ($\delta_p = 11.35$ MPa$^{0.5}$, $\delta_h = 8.35$ MPa$^{0.5}$, and $\delta_d = 17.25$ MPa$^{0.5}$), and critical gelator concentrations (%) or appearances of P3 in various liquids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>$\delta_p$</th>
<th>$\delta_h$</th>
<th>$\delta_d$</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>CGC (%) or appearance$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dimethyl sulfoxide</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>16.40</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>18.40</td>
<td>26.68</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dimethylformamide</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>13.70</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>17.40</td>
<td>24.86</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyridine</td>
<td>PYR</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>21.75</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-butylamine</td>
<td>NBA</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>16.20</td>
<td>18.62</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tetrahydrofuran</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>16.80</td>
<td>19.46</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetone</td>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>15.50</td>
<td>19.94</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetic acid</td>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>21.37</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hexanoic acid</td>
<td>HXA</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>20.39</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benzene</td>
<td>BNZ</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>18.40</td>
<td>18.51</td>
<td>13.21</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>TOL</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>18.16</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td>CHEX</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>16.80</td>
<td>16.80</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-nonane</td>
<td>NON</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>14.39</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carbon tetrachloride</td>
<td>CTC</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>17.81</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>triethylamine</td>
<td>TEA</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>17.14</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethyl acetate</td>
<td>EAC</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>18.15</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dichloromethane</td>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>20.20</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chloroform</td>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>18.95</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>MOH</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>29.61</td>
<td>14.63</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>EOH</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>19.40</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>26.52</td>
<td>11.71</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-propanol</td>
<td>POH</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>17.40</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>24.60</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-butanol</td>
<td>BOH</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>23.20</td>
<td>9.68</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-pentanol</td>
<td>PEOH</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>13.90</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>21.93</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-hexanol</td>
<td>HOH</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>21.04</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-heptanol</td>
<td>HPOH</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>20.52</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-octanol</td>
<td>OOH</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>21.01</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-nonanol</td>
<td>NOH</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>17.30</td>
<td>20.55</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1-decanol      DOH      2.70   10.00   17.60   20.42   8.83   0.07
acetonitrile   ATN     18.00   6.10   15.30   24.40   8.03   I
diethyl ether   DEE     2.90   5.10   14.50   15.64  10.59   I
water          H2O     16.00  42.40   15.50   47.90  34.54  1.25

\(^a\) \([P3] = 2.5\%\), S = soluble, I = insoluble, Sus = suspension.

4. Hansen spaces of P2-P8 in liquids

Figure S2. Solubility data for 2.5% P2 in neat liquids represented in Hansen space. Blue (soluble), red (gel), and yellow (insoluble). The datum point for water is not included; see text.

Figure S3. Solubility data for 2.5% P4 in neat liquids represented in Hansen space. Blue (soluble), red (gel), and yellow (insoluble). The datum point for water is not included; see text.
Figure S4. Solubility data for 2.5% P6 in neat liquids represented in Hansen space. Blue (soluble), red (gel), and yellow (insoluble). The datum point for water is not included; see text.

Figure S5. Solubility data for 2.5% P7 in neat liquids represented in Hansen space. Blue (soluble), red (gel), and yellow (insoluble). The datum point for water is not included; see text.
Figure S6. Solubility data for 2.5% P8 in neat liquids represented in Hansen space. Blue (soluble), red (gel), and yellow (insoluble). The datum point for water is not included; see text.

Figure S7. Solubility data for 2.5% N3 in neat liquids represented in Hansen space. Blue (soluble), red (gel), and yellow (insoluble). The datum point for water is not included; see text.
5. Plots of CGCs of Pn as a function of HSPs

Figure S8. Critical gelation concentrations of P4 in some liquids as a function of: (a) $\delta_p$, (b) $\delta_h$, (c) $\delta_d$, (d) overall Hansen solubility parameter ($\delta$), and (e) Ra in Hansen space from P4 ($\delta_p = 10.08 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}$, $\delta_h = 9.58 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}$, and $\delta_d = 17.1 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}$).

Figure S9. Critical gelation concentrations of P6 in some liquids as a function of: (a) $\delta_p$, (b) $\delta_h$, (c) $\delta_d$, (d) overall Hansen solubility parameter ($\delta$), and (e) Ra in Hansen space from P6 ($\delta_p = 10.08 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}$, $\delta_h = 9.58 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}$, and $\delta_d = 17.1 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}$).
Figure S10. Critical gelation concentrations of P7 in some liquids as a function of: (a) $\delta_p$, (b) $\delta_h$, (c) $\delta_d$, (d) overall Hansen solubility parameter ($\delta$), and (e) $R_a$ in Hansen space from P7 ($\delta_p = 10.08 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}$, $\delta_h = 9.58 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}$, and $\delta_d = 17.1 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}$).

Figure S11. Critical gelation concentrations of P8 in some liquids as a function of: (a) $\delta_p$, (b) $\delta_h$, (c) $\delta_d$, (d) overall Hansen solubility parameter ($\delta$), and (e) $R_a$ in Hansen space from P8 ($\delta_p = 10.08 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}$, $\delta_h = 9.58 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}$, and $\delta_d = 17.1 \text{ MPa}^{0.5}$).
6. TEM image prepared from a dried 0.02% P7 in acetonitrile sol

![TEM Image](image)

**Figure S12.** TEM image prepared from a dried 0.02% P7 in acetonitrile sol.

7. Static contact angle ($\theta$) measured on water for a xerogel film of P7 from acetonitrile

![Droplet Diagram](image)

**Figure S13.** Droplet for static contact angle ($\theta$) measurement on water for a xerogel film of P7 from acetonitrile (Initial [P7] = 1.5%).
8. X-ray diffraction patterns of neat Pn and calculated extended molecular lengths

![Graph showing vertically offset X-ray diffraction patterns of neat Pn. (a, b) P2 \& P3 (Series A), (c-f) P4, P6, P7 and P8 (Series B), respectively.]

**Figure S14.** Vertically offset X-ray diffraction patterns of neat Pn. (a, b) P2 \& P3 (Series A), (c-f) P4, P6, P7 and P8 (Series B), respectively.

**Table S3.** Comparison of the possible lattice types of the neat Pn after indexing the peaks in Figure S21, the \( d \) values of the diffraction peaks, and the calculated extended molecular lengths (\( L \)) of the Pn.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pn</th>
<th>Possible lattice type</th>
<th>( d ) (nm)</th>
<th>( L ) (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>hexagonal, orthorhombic, tetragonal</td>
<td>1.92, 0.96, 0.64, 0.59, 0.55, 0.51, 0.47, 0.44, 0.42, 0.40, 0.39, 0.38, 0.36</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>monoclinic, orthorhombic</td>
<td>2.45, 1.23, 0.84, 0.72, 0.66, 0.60, 0.47, 0.46, 0.44, 0.43, 0.42, 0.40, 0.38</td>
<td>2.33, 3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>3.41, 1.48, 1.28, 1.13, 0.53, 0.45, 0.38, 0.36</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>3.87, 1.65, 1.43, 1.27, 0.61, 0.53, 0.46, 0.42, 0.38, 0.36</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>4.16, 1.78, 1.54, 1.36, 0.62, 0.53, 0.46, 0.43, 0.39, 0.37</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>4.43, 1.87, 1.60, 1.40, 0.65, 0.53, 0.47, 0.45, 0.43, 0.38</td>
<td>4.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S15. Energy-minimized extended molecular lengths of the Pn and their proposed packing arrangements (when interdigitated) and their calculated lengths: (a) P2, 2.04 nm, (b) P3, 2.33 nm, (c) interdigitated P3, 3.13 nm, (d) interdigitated P4, 3.62 nm, (e) interdigitated P6, 4.10 nm, (d) interdigitated P7, 4.36 nm, and (g) interdigitated P8, 4.64 nm.
9. X-ray diffraction patterns of neat, xerogel and gel of P7

![Image of X-ray diffraction patterns](image)

**Figure S16.** X-ray diffraction patterns of P7 (Series B): (a) neat, (b) a xerogel from acetonitrile (initial [P7] = 2%), and (c) a 5% gel in acetonitrile after subtracting empirically the amorphous scattering of the acetonitrile liquid from the total gel diffractogram; see text for details.

10. The evolution of molecular packing of P7 in acetonitrile leading to gels

![Image of molecular packing evolution](image)
11. Reversible variation of the CD intensity at 295 nm between the sol and gel phases

![Graph showing reversibility of CD intensity at 295 nm between sol and gel phases.]

**Figure S17.** Reversibility of the CD intensity at 295 nm of 0.1 % P7 in acetonitrile between the sol (55 °C) and gel (25 °C) phases.

12. Fluorescence excitation spectra of 1.5% P7 in acetonitrile

![Graph showing fluorescence excitation spectra.]

**Figure S18.** Fluorescence excitation spectra of a gel of 1.5% \(2.6\times10^{-2} \text{ M}\) P7 (Series B) in acetonitrile at 20 °C at different emission wavelengths.
13. Fluorescence emission spectra of 1.5% P7 in acetonitrile

**Figure S19.** Fluorescence emission spectra ($\lambda_{ex}$ 350 nm) of 1.5% (2.6×10^{-2} M) P7 (Series B) in acetonitrile (20 °C) at ca. 0 and 445 min after gel preparation.

14. Fluorescence spectra of 1.5% P7 in in flattened capillaries with different pathlengths

**Figure S20.** Fluorescence from 1.5% (2.6×10^{-2} M) P7 (Series B) in acetonitrile in the gel phase (20 °C) in flattened capillaries with different pathlengths: (a) excitation spectra ($\lambda_{em}$ 520 nm, normalized at 358 nm); (b) emission spectra ($\lambda_{ex}$ 400 nm, normalized at 471 nm).
15. FTIR spectra of 8 mM P7 in DMF solution/sol, and P7/ethanol and P7/acetonitrile xerogels (initial [P7] = 2%)

![FTIR spectra](image)

**Figure S21.** FTIR spectra of (a) 8 mM P7 in DMF solution/sol and (b) P7/ethanol and (c) P7/acetonitrile xerogels (initial [P7] = 2%).

16. $^1$H NMR spectra of P7 in DMSO-$d_6$

![NMR spectra](image)

**Figure S22.** $^1$H NMR spectra of P7 in DMSO-$d_6$: (a) temperature dependence at 4.0%; (b) concentration dependence at 25 °C. The peaks at 3.39 ppm at 25 °C and 2.93 ppm at 85 °C in (a) and 3.33 ppm at 1.0% and 3.45 ppm at 8.0% in (b) are due to protons in water of the DMSO-$d_6$ solvent.
17. Solubility data for 2.5% P7 in neat liquids represented in Hansen space with spheres

Figure S23. Solubility data for 2.5% P7 in neat liquids represented in Hansen space with spheres. Blue (soluble), red (gel), and yellow (insoluble). For the sake of clarity, no yellow sphere is drawn. All points outside the red sphere are expected to be insoluble.

18. Rheology studies

Table S4. $G'$ and $G''$ values and $G'/G''$ ratios at stress = 10 Pa, and yield stress of 2% P3-P8 in 1-decanol gels at 20 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pn</th>
<th>$G'$ (Pa)</th>
<th>$G''$ (Pa)</th>
<th>$G'/G''$</th>
<th>Yield stress (Pa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>134000 ± 8000$^a$</td>
<td>12070 ± 1070</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4190 ± 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>1430 ± 130</td>
<td>330 ± 30</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>30 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>20370 ± 1730</td>
<td>2590 ± 280</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>40 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7</td>
<td>67780 ± 3570</td>
<td>7830 ± 520</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1410 ± 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8</td>
<td>61320 ± 1300</td>
<td>6620 ± 460</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1260 ± 60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Deviations are based on averages of 3 runs with different aliquots.
**Figure S24.** X-ray diffraction patterns of 10% Pn (Series B) in 1-decanol after subtracting empirically the amorphous scattering of the 1-decanol liquid from the total gel diffractograms.

**Table S5.** The values of $2\theta$ and their corresponding $d$ values of the diffraction peaks of gels of 10% Pn in 1-decanol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pn</th>
<th>$2\theta$ ($^\circ$)</th>
<th>$d$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>2.52, 7.76</td>
<td>3.5, 1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>2.25, 7.14</td>
<td>3.92, 1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>2.15, 3.00, 3.67, 7.47</td>
<td>4.10, 2.94, 2.40, 1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7</td>
<td>1.97, 3.01, 3.64, 6.76</td>
<td>4.48, 2.93, 2.42, 1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8</td>
<td>1.90, 7.85</td>
<td>4.64, 1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S25. Polarizing optical micrographs of 2% P3 (a), P4 (b), P6 (c), P7 (d) and P8 (e) in 1-decanol.

Figure S26. Plot of $T_{gel}$ of 2% Pn in 1-decanol gels. Temperature ranges (vertical bars) refer to when the initial and final portions of a gel sample fell on being heated slowly.

Table S6. $G'$ and $G''$ values and $G'/G''$ ratios at stress = 10 Pa, and yield stress of P7 in 1-decanol gels at different concentrations at 20°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P7 (%)</th>
<th>$G'$ (Pa)</th>
<th>$G''$ (Pa)</th>
<th>$G'/G''$</th>
<th>Yield stress (Pa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6 ± 1a</td>
<td>7 ± 1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2300 ± 190</td>
<td>580 ± 75</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>60 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>17330 ± 1210</td>
<td>3420 ± 370</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>800 ± 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>67780 ± 3570</td>
<td>7830 ± 520</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1410 ± 80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deviations are based on averages of 3 runs with different aliquots.

Figure S27. Log-log frequency sweep (shear stress = 12 Pa) for a gel of 2.0% P7 in 1-decanol at 20 °C.

Figure S28. Evolution of $G'$ and $G''$ of a 2.0% P7 in 1-decanol gel as a function of time after an initial shear stress of 1680 Pa had been applied for 2 min at 20 °C (as shown in the inset). The recovery was monitored at an applied stress of 1 Pa, and the time axis starts after the end of the destructive shear.
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